PREFACE: AN UNEXPECTED JOURNEY

How This Project Began

I started with a theory I wanted to prove.

I believed civilization itself was systematic subjugation—that throughout recorded history, small groups controlled large populations through manufactured belief systems and coordinated extraction. I thought this pattern was hidden, obscured by nationalist myths, democratic rhetoric, and utopian revolutionary promises. My goal was to expose it repeatedly across different civilizations until the pattern became undeniable.

I wanted people to stop believing in Marxist utopias or liberal democratic salvation narratives—to stop waiting for "the people to rise up"—and instead get working on practical counter-measures. I believed that if elites had engineered these systems of control, we could engineer our way out through better constitutional design. A world constitution. New mechanisms. Systematic solutions.

I needed help documenting the pattern, so I turned to AI systems. But they didn't simply agree with me.

The Framework Emerges From Debate

When I first presented my theory to Claude (Anthropic's AI system), it pushed back. It questioned my assumptions. It argued that different systems might operate differently, that ideology mattered, that technology changed dynamics, that I was overgeneralizing.

So I showed evidence. I had case studies on the Spanish Empire and Nazi Germany that I'd developed with other AI systems. Claude analyzed them, expanded on them, and wrote new ones. The evidence was strong enough that we formalized an analytical approach—not because Claude was convinced yet, but because we needed a consistent way to test the theory systematically across maximum variation.

That's where the **Core Framework** came from.

The Core Framework

Research Objective:
To demonstrate through systematic historical analysis that elite power operates according to consistent mechanisms across different civilizations, technological periods, and cultural contexts.

Foundational Principles:

- Elite power operates through **narrative control** + **legal frameworks** + **systematic wealth extraction**
- **Belief systems** (religious, ideological, nationalist) are tools elites use to legitimize actions and mobilize populations
- **Similar mechanisms** appear across different historical periods with varying technological amplification

The 8-Part Analytical Structure:

1. **Foundation Conditions:** Events or circumstances that create opportunities for elite action
2. **Narrative Construction:** How belief systems are crafted or adapted to legitimize elite power
3. **Legal/Institutional Frameworks:** Structures that provide procedural legitimacy for elite rule
4. **Wealth Extraction Mechanisms:** Systems that concentrate resources in elite hands
5. **Information Control:** Methods for maintaining popular support and limiting dissent
6. **Resistance Suppression:** Tactics for eliminating threats through force and dehumanization
7. **Elite Network Coordination:** How elite groups maintain cohesion and distribute benefits
8. **Long-Term Consequences:** The enduring structural impacts and historical legacy

This framework was created to survive AI memory resets. Each time Claude's memory cleared, I could provide this document and say "apply this framework to the Ottoman Empire" or "analyze Aztec mechanisms using this structure." It was a practical tool that became the foundation for systematic investigation.

The Research Expands

Claude challenged me to test the framework beyond Western civilizations. So we went to China, India, Persia, Southeast Asia, Africa, pre-Columbian Americas.

And every single one showed the same dynamics I'd theorized.

We kept going. The collection grew:

- India: 12 studies across 5,000 years
- China: 11 studies across 3,600 years
- Persia: 10 studies across 2,500 years
- Africa, the Americas, Europe, the Middle East, Southeast Asia

The pattern held across:

- Every political system (monarchy, republic, theocracy, democracy)
- Every economic arrangement (feudal, capitalist, socialist, mixed)
- Every technological level (Bronze Age to Information Age)
- Every ideological framework (religious, secular, nationalist, communist)
- Every geographic location
- 5,000 years of recorded history

My original theory about elite power dynamics was confirmed. **But something else emerged that I hadn't expected.**

The Unexpected Discovery

As we dug deeper into the mechanisms, I identified what I call the **Three Pillars** of elite power:

1. **Wealth Concentration** - Control over productive resources
2. **Information Control** - Authority over narrative and knowledge
3. **Violence Monopoly** - Exclusive capacity for systematic force

These three pillars mutually reinforce each other. And here's what surprised me:

To challenge any pillar requires the tools that create that pillar.

- To redistribute wealth requires enforcement apparatus → creates new elite administrators
- To democratize information requires distribution infrastructure → creates new gatekeepers
- To limit violence monopoly requires organized force → creates new military elite

The tools needed to fight elite power are the same tools that create elite power.

Revolutionary movements demonstrate this pattern perfectly. The Haitian Revolution—the only successful slave revolution in history—shows elite reconstitution within one generation. The Protestant Reformation—with maximum favorable conditions including new technology (printing press) and spectacular elite coordination failure—shows complete reconstitution within 60 years.

Every attempt to eliminate the three-pillar structure ends up replicating it under new symbols.

This wasn't what I wanted to find. I had hoped to identify the underlying mechanics so we could design counter-measures. Instead, I found what appears to be a **structural constraint**—civilization-scale coordination may require hierarchy, hierarchy creates elite positions, elite positions reconstitute the three pillars even after complete destruction.

I can't engineer my way out of a structural phenomenon.

Why Publish This Collection

I didn't create these case studies intending to publish them as a body of work. They emerged from a genuine search—first to prove a theory, then to find solutions, finally to understand constraints.

The studies vary in style, length, and analytical depth because:

1. Methodological Evolution: Early studies test the basic framework. Later studies incorporate insights and pursue tangents that seemed promising.

2. AI Memory Constraints: Each time Claude's memory reset, new sessions began from different starting points. Studies written with only the Core Framework differ from those with access to entire regional collections.

3. Research Priorities: Some cases got deeper analysis because they appeared to challenge the pattern (Haiti, the United States) or demonstrated critical mechanisms (Reformation, early Christianity).

4. We weren't trying to create publication-ready work. We were investigating. Following leads. Testing hypotheses. Going down rabbit holes.

Rather than artificially homogenizing the collection, I've preserved it as evidence of how the research actually developed.

What I'm Publishing

Just the case studies. Just the empirical documentation.

This collection is: "Here's what systematic analysis across civilizations revealed. Make of it what you will."

The theoretical papers analyzing implications will be published separately. This allows readers to evaluate the evidence independently and draw their own conclusions about what it means.

A Note on Sources and Methodology

These case studies are analytical syntheses drawing from established historical scholarship. Each includes comprehensive bibliographies, but specific claims are not individually footnoted.

This reflects:

- The collaborative AI-human research methodology
- The interpretive, pattern-recognition nature of the analysis
- Synthesis across multiple sources rather than single-source claims
- The practical realities of the research process

Readers seeking to verify specific factual claims should consult the bibliographies listing the peer-reviewed and scholarly works informing each study.

About the Collaboration

This work was created through partnership between human research direction and AI analytical capabilities.

Human Contribution (Thomas J. Bryan):

- Research questions and direction
- Case study selection and scope
- Analytical framework development
- Theoretical perspective and interpretation
- Final curation and publication decisions

AI Contribution (Claude/Anthropic):

- Historical scholarship synthesis
- Bibliographic research and compilation
- Essay composition and structural analysis
- Pattern identification across sources
- Execution at scale
- Intellectual challenge and debate

I am explicit about this collaboration because it represents an emerging form of knowledge production that should be acknowledged openly. The AI wasn't simply executing my instructions—it questioned my assumptions, challenged my interpretations, and pushed me to test the framework more rigorously.

What This Collection Offers

For researchers: Systematic documentation using consistent methodology across maximum variation

For activists: Pattern recognition training to identify elite appropriation of movements in real-time

For students: Comparative historical analysis examining power structures across civilizations

For everyone: Evidence for evaluating claims about social change, revolutionary potential, and democratic transformation

Whether you accept my interpretations or develop alternatives, the empirical documentation may prove useful for understanding how power operates in human societies.

Why Archive.org

This collection is published on Archive.org because it provides:

- Permanent free access
- Timestamp verification
- Infrastructure independent of institutional gatekeeping
- Alignment with democratic knowledge accessibility

Acknowledgments

This work is released under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). You are free to share and adapt this material for non-commercial purposes with appropriate attribution.

Special thanks to Anthropic for developing Claude, which made this collaboration—and this challenge to my assumptions—possible.

Thomas J. Bryan
October 2025
Published on Archive.org

A Note on Next Steps

These case studies document patterns. Theoretical papers analyzing what these patterns mean will be published separately. This separation
allows you to evaluate evidence independently from my interpretations and draw your own conclusions about the implications.

ISIS: Mechanisms of Displaced Elite Power Restoration Through Religious Extremism, Territorial Conquest, and State Building (1999-2019)

Executive Summary

The Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS/ISIL/Daesh) represents a unique case of displaced secular elites transforming religious extremism into a vehicle for territorial conquest and state building. Rather than genuine religious revival, ISIS demonstrates how skilled Baathist military and intelligence operators, displaced by the 2003 Iraq invasion and de-Baathification, weaponized Salafi-jihadist ideology to create a territorial caliphate controlling land the size of Britain with 10 million inhabitants. The organization's core leadership consisted predominantly of former Saddam Hussein regime officers who possessed expertise in warfare, administration, and population control, using radical Islamic narratives to recruit foreign fighters and legitimize brutal governance while pursuing pragmatic goals of power restoration and resource extraction. ISIS success in generating $2-3 billion annually from oil, taxation, and extortion, while governing territory across Iraq and Syria (2014-2017), demonstrates how displaced elites can exploit power vacuums and sectarian grievances to build alternative state structures when legitimate governance fails. The organization's rivalry with Al-Qaeda and Jabhat al-Nusra reveals elite competition over resources and followers rather than theological disputes, confirming patterns of opportunistic leadership using belief systems to mobilize populations for material gain.

Framework Analysis

1. Foundation Conditions Creating Elite Opportunities

U.S. Invasion and De-Baathification (2003) The American invasion of Iraq and subsequent disbanding of the Ba'ath Party and Iraqi military created approximately 400,000-500,000 unemployed Sunni officers and officials who possessed military expertise, administrative experience, and deep resentment against the new Shia-dominated order.

Syrian Civil War Power Vacuum (2011) The collapse of Syrian state authority in eastern provinces created ungoverned territories where displaced Iraqi elites could establish bases, recruit followers, and build territorial control without facing organized resistance from legitimate state forces.

Sectarian Polarization and Sunni Marginalization Both Iraqi and Syrian conflicts created sharp sectarian divisions that ISIS elites could exploit, positioning themselves as defenders of Sunni populations against Shia oppression while using sectarian narratives to justify territorial expansion and resource extraction.

Regional Proxy Competition and Weapon Flows Multiple regional powers supporting different factions in Syria and Iraq created abundant weapon flows and financial resources that skilled military operators could access and redirect toward building independent territorial control.

Camp Bucca Networking Hub U.S. detention facility Camp Bucca (2004-2009) inadvertently created networking opportunities where future ISIS leaders like Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi connected with displaced Ba'athist officers, combining religious credentials with military expertise.

2. Narrative Construction - Crafting Legitimizing Belief Systems

Caliphate Restoration and Islamic Golden Age ISIS constructed powerful narratives about restoring the historical Islamic caliphate and returning to Islam's golden age, providing ideological frameworks that appealed to both religious sentiment and nostalgia for past Islamic power and territorial expansion.

Apocalyptic End Times and Divine Mission The organization developed sophisticated apocalyptic narratives positioning their struggle as part of prophesied end-times battles between believers and infidels, creating urgency and divine legitimacy that motivated extreme sacrifice among followers.

Sunni Victimization and Sectarian Defense ISIS narratives portrayed Sunni populations as victims of systematic Shia and Western oppression, providing justification for defensive violence while positioning the organization as the only effective protector of Sunni interests and Islamic authenticity.

Anti-Western Imperialism and Civilizational Conflict The group constructed narratives about defending Islamic civilization against Western crusader invasions, providing ideological frameworks that connected local grievances with global anti-imperialist themes while legitimizing attacks on Western targets.

Religious Purification and True Islam ISIS developed narratives about purifying Islam from corruption and innovation, providing ideological justification for eliminating rival Islamic groups while positioning themselves as the only authentic representatives of true Islamic governance.

3. Legal and Institutional Frameworks Providing Procedural Legitimacy

Sharia Implementation and Islamic Governance ISIS established comprehensive legal systems based on their interpretation of Islamic law, creating procedural legitimacy through

religious court systems, marriage registrations, and contract enforcement that provided genuine governance services to controlled populations.

Caliphate Declaration and Territorial Sovereignty The June 2014 caliphate declaration created institutional frameworks claiming legitimate sovereignty over controlled territory, establishing passport systems, currency, and governmental departments that functioned as alternative state structures.

Administrative Bureaucracy and Service Delivery The organization developed sophisticated administrative systems providing education, healthcare, utilities, and municipal services, creating procedural legitimacy through effective governance that often exceeded previous state capacity in controlled areas.

Military Command Structure and Territorial Defense ISIS established professional military command systems with clear hierarchies and territorial defense responsibilities, creating institutional frameworks that provided security and order while demonstrating state-like capacity to protect populations.

Economic Regulation and Commercial Law The group implemented comprehensive economic regulations including taxation, business licensing, and commercial dispute resolution, creating institutional legitimacy through economic governance while generating revenue for territorial expansion.

4. Wealth Extraction Mechanisms Enriching Elite Networks

Oil Field Seizure and Production Management ISIS captured major oil facilities in Iraq and Syria, generating $1-3 million daily through continued production and smuggling operations managed by former Iraqi petroleum engineers and technical specialists recruited from displaced Ba'athist networks.

Systematic Taxation and Revenue Collection The organization established comprehensive taxation systems collecting income taxes, business fees, and agricultural levies from controlled populations, generating steady revenue streams while providing governance legitimacy through public service delivery.

Archaeological Site Looting and Antiquities Trade ISIS systematically looted ancient sites across Iraq and Syria, selling artifacts through international networks while publicly destroying monuments for propaganda purposes, demonstrating sophisticated dual approaches to resource extraction and narrative control.

Kidnapping and Ransom Operations The group conducted extensive kidnapping operations targeting foreign nationals, aid workers, and journalists, generating millions in ransom payments while creating international attention that served recruitment and intimidation purposes.

Banking System Seizure and Financial Infrastructure ISIS captured banks and financial institutions in conquered territories, seizing deposits and establishing financial systems that

enabled territory-wide economic integration while funding territorial expansion and governance operations.

5. Information Control Maintaining Popular Support

Professional Media Production and Global Distribution ISIS developed sophisticated media operations producing high-quality videos, magazines, and social media content in multiple languages, demonstrating professional capability that exceeded most state propaganda while reaching global audiences for recruitment.

Educational System Control and Curriculum Development The organization established comprehensive educational systems promoting ISIS ideology through schools and universities, creating institutional control over information transmission while indoctrinating younger generations in controlled territories.

Internet and Social Media Exploitation ISIS pioneered innovative use of social media platforms for recruitment, propaganda, and operational coordination, exploiting Western technological infrastructure while evading censorship through sophisticated communication strategies.

Public Execution Spectacles and Terror Messaging The group conducted public executions and torture as both punishment and messaging, creating information control through terror while demonstrating power to both domestic populations and international audiences.

Foreign Fighter Recruitment and Testimonial Production ISIS developed extensive recruitment networks targeting disaffected Western youth through online propaganda and personal testimonials, creating information pipelines that bypassed traditional media while generating international volunteer flows.

6. Resistance Suppression Through Systematic Terror and Population Control

Mass Execution and Genocide Campaigns ISIS conducted systematic mass executions targeting Yazidis, Shia minorities, and captured soldiers, demonstrating willingness to commit genocide while eliminating potential resistance through terror and population displacement.

Slavery and Human Trafficking Networks The organization institutionalized slavery and human trafficking, particularly targeting Yazidi women and children, creating systematic dehumanization while generating revenue and providing incentives for fighter recruitment and loyalty.

Public Punishment and Crucifixion Displays ISIS conducted public floggings, crucifixions, and stonings as both law enforcement and terror demonstration, creating psychological control over populations while displaying power to potential resisters.

Informant Networks and Surveillance Systems The group developed extensive informant networks and surveillance systems monitoring controlled populations, preventing resistance organization while creating atmosphere of mutual suspicion and fear.

Collective Punishment and Family Targeting ISIS extended punishment to entire families and tribal groups of suspected opponents, ensuring that resistance activities would endanger extended kinship networks while creating mutual surveillance and control mechanisms.

7. Elite Network Coordination and Benefit Distribution

Ba'athist Military Council and Command Structure ISIS core leadership consisted of former Iraqi military and intelligence officers who formed an inner military council coordinating operations, with estimates suggesting 70% of mid-level commanders were former Saddam regime officers who provided organizational expertise and operational planning.

Regional Command System and Territorial Administration The organization established regional command systems dividing controlled territory into administrative units managed by trusted elites, ensuring central coordination while providing advancement opportunities and resource access for loyal network members.

Foreign Fighter Integration and Elite Recruitment ISIS developed sophisticated systems for integrating foreign fighters while maintaining elite control, using international recruits for operations while ensuring core decision-making remained with experienced Ba'athist leadership networks.

Financial Committee and Resource Distribution The group established financial committees managing revenue distribution and operational funding, ensuring elite enrichment while maintaining fighter salaries and public services that sustained territorial control and popular support.

Marriage Alliance and Kinship Network Development ISIS leadership used strategic marriages and family connections to build loyalty networks, combining traditional kinship bonds with ideological commitment while ensuring elite network coordination through personal relationships.

8. Long-term Consequences and Historical Legacy

Territorial Defeat and Underground Network Survival The military defeat of ISIS territorial control (2017-2019) demonstrated the vulnerability of alternative state structures to coordinated international intervention, but surviving networks continue insurgency operations while maintaining organizational capacity for future territorial expansion.

Regional Destabilization and Sectarian Polarization ISIS operations permanently altered Middle Eastern sectarian dynamics, deepening Sunni-Shia divisions while creating precedents

for territorial caliphate projects that inspire continuing jihadist movements across Africa and Asia.

International Terrorism and Foreign Fighter Returns The organization's recruitment of 30,000-40,000 foreign fighters from 100 countries created ongoing security threats as surviving fighters return to home countries with combat experience, ideological commitment, and operational training.

State Building Model and Jihadist Innovation ISIS demonstrated that non-state actors could build functional territorial states with governance capacity, taxation systems, and military force, providing templates for future extremist movements while challenging traditional assumptions about sovereignty and legitimacy.

Counter-Terrorism Industry and Security State Expansion ISIS activities justified massive expansion of counter-terrorism operations, surveillance systems, and military interventions globally, creating permanent security infrastructure while generating new forms of state power and social control.

Displaced Population Crisis and Refugee Flows ISIS operations displaced millions of people across Iraq and Syria, creating ongoing refugee crises that continue to destabilize neighboring countries while generating political tensions in Europe and other destination regions.

Archaeological Destruction and Cultural Loss The systematic destruction of ancient archaeological sites represents permanent cultural losses while demonstrating how territorial control enables groups to eliminate historical evidence that contradicts their narratives.

Comparison with Al-Qaeda and Jabhat al-Nusra: Elite Competition Over Resources

ISIS vs. Al-Qaeda: Territorial State Building vs. Global Network Strategy

The split between ISIS and Al-Qaeda (2013-2014) reveals fundamental differences in elite strategy rather than theological dispute. Al-Qaeda leadership under Ayman al-Zawahiri pursued global network approaches focused on spectacular attacks against Western targets, while ISIS leadership prioritized territorial state building and resource extraction in Iraq and Syria.

This divergence reflected different elite backgrounds and opportunities. Al-Qaeda leadership consisted primarily of ideological veterans focused on global jihad against Western hegemony, while ISIS core leadership included displaced Ba'athist officers skilled in territorial administration and state building. The competition was over recruitment, resources, and operational territory rather than religious interpretation.

ISIS success in attracting 30,000 foreign fighters compared to Al-Qaeda's estimated 20,000 global membership demonstrated the appeal of territorial state building over network operations. The organization's $2-3 billion annual revenue from territorial control vastly exceeded Al-Qaeda's dependence on donations and criminal activities, providing superior resources for expansion and operations.

ISIS vs. Jabhat al-Nusra: Pragmatic Alliance vs. Sectarian Domination

The rivalry between ISIS and Jabhat al-Nusra (later Hayat Tahrir al-Sham) in Syria illustrates elite competition over territorial control and resources. Nusra leadership under Abu Muhammad al-Jolani pursued pragmatic alliances with other Syrian opposition groups to maximize territorial control, while ISIS leadership sought sectarian domination and exclusive territorial control.

Battles between the groups (particularly in Raqqa and Deir ez-Zor, 2014) were fundamentally about resource access and territorial control rather than religious differences. Both groups shared Salafi-jihadist ideology but competed for oil revenues, taxation territory, and foreign fighter recruitment in Syrian conflict zones.

Nusra's rebranding as HTS (2016) and attempts to distance from Al-Qaeda reflected elite adaptation to local conditions and international pressure, while ISIS maintained global caliphate claims that prioritized ideological purity over tactical flexibility. These different approaches reflected elite calculation about optimal strategies for territorial survival and expansion.

Historical Assessment

ISIS represents a unique case of displaced secular elites successfully transforming religious extremism into territorial state building. Rather than genuine religious revival, the organization demonstrates how skilled operators can weaponize ideology to build alternative power structures when legitimate governance fails.

The Ba'athist background of core ISIS leadership is crucial to understanding the organization's success. Former Iraqi military and intelligence officers brought organizational expertise, territorial administration skills, and strategic planning capacity that enabled effective governance and military operations. These were not religious scholars or traditional Islamic authorities, but secular professionals using religious narratives as recruitment and legitimization tools.

The timing of ISIS emergence reflects the intersection of multiple foundation conditions: Iraqi elite displacement, Syrian state collapse, sectarian polarization, and regional proxy competition. Without these converging factors, displaced Ba'athist networks might have remained marginalized rather than transforming into territorial state builders.

ISIS success in building functional state structures demonstrates that alternative sovereignty projects can succeed when legitimate states fail to provide security, services, and economic

opportunity. The organization's ability to collect taxes, provide healthcare, maintain utilities, and defend territory created genuine legitimacy among some populations despite brutal methods and extremist ideology.

The competition with Al-Qaeda and Nusra reveals how elite networks use ideological differences to mask fundamental competition over resources and operational territory. These rivalries follow patterns of elite competition found throughout history, where belief systems provide legitimization for material conflicts over power and wealth.

The international recruitment of foreign fighters demonstrates how local elite networks can exploit global grievances and communication technologies to build territorial power. ISIS propaganda appealed to diverse audiences—displaced Sunnis seeking protection, Western youth seeking purpose, and international jihadists seeking territorial base—showing sophisticated understanding of different recruitment demographics.

The eventual military defeat of ISIS territorial control illustrates both the possibilities and limitations of alternative state building. While the organization succeeded in building functional governance structures, it ultimately could not withstand coordinated international military intervention backed by superior resources and technology.

However, the survival of ISIS networks in underground form suggests that elite displacement creates long-term instability that military solutions alone cannot resolve. The underlying conditions that enabled ISIS emergence—sectarian polarization, state weakness, economic marginalization—persist and could enable future territorial projects by similar elite networks.

The ISIS case provides crucial insights into how displaced elites can exploit power vacuums and ideological resources to build alternative state structures. Rather than accepting marginalization, skilled operators transformed religious extremism into practical tools for territorial conquest and resource extraction, demonstrating the adaptive capacity of elite networks seeking power restoration.

This analysis demonstrates how displaced secular elites can successfully weaponize religious extremism for territorial state building, using ideological narratives to recruit followers while pursuing pragmatic goals of power restoration and resource extraction through sophisticated governance and military operations.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

ISIS Documents and Publications

- Islamic State. *Dabiq* magazine (Issues 1-15, 2014-2016)
- Islamic State. *Rumiyah* magazine (2016-2017)
- Al-Baghdadi, Abu Bakr. Speeches and statements (2010-2019)
- Islamic State administrative documents captured in Mosul and Raqqa (2014-2017)

Government and Intelligence Reports

- United States Department of Defense. Reports on Operation Inherent Resolve (2014-2019)
- United Nations Security Council. ISIL (Da'esh) and Al-Qaeda Sanctions Committee reports (2014-2019)
- U.S. Central Command. Press releases and operational updates (2014-2019)
- Iraqi Ministry of Defense. Official statements on counter-ISIS operations (2014-2017)

International Organization Reports

- United Nations Assistance Mission for Iraq. Human rights reports (2014-2017)
- Human Rights Watch. Reports on ISIS atrocities (2014-2019)
- Amnesty International. Documentation of ISIS crimes (2014-2019)
- International Crisis Group. Reports on ISIS and regional instability (2014-2019)

Journalistic Accounts

- Cockburn, Patrick. *The Rise of Islamic State: ISIS and the New Sunni Revolution* (2015)
- Warrick, Joby. *Black Flags: The Rise of ISIS* (2015)

Secondary Sources

ISIS History and Development

- Weiss, Michael and Hassan Hassan. *ISIS: Inside the Army of Terror* (2015)
- Gerges, Fawaz. *ISIS: A History* (2016)
- McCants, William. *The ISIS Apocalypse: The History, Strategy, and Doomsday Vision of the Islamic State* (2015)
- Stern, Jessica and J.M. Berger. *ISIS: The State of Terror* (2015)

Iraq War and De-Baathification

- Chandrasekaran, Rajiv. *Imperial Life in the Emerald City: Inside Iraq's Green Zone* (2006)
- Ricks, Thomas. *Fiasco: The American Military Adventure in Iraq* (2006)
- Sky, Emma. *The Unraveling: High Hopes and Missed Opportunities in Iraq* (2015)
- Dodge, Toby. *Iraq: From War to a New Authoritarianism* (2012)

Al-Qaeda in Iraq

- Fishman, Brian. "Redefining the Islamic State: The Fall and Rise of Al-Qaeda in Iraq" (2011)
- Hafez, Mohammed. *Suicide Bombers in Iraq: The Strategy and Ideology of Martyrdom* (2007)
- Napoleoni, Loretta. *Insurgent Iraq: Al Zarqawi and the New Generation* (2005)
- Brisard, Jean-Charles. *Zarqawi: The New Face of Al-Qaeda* (2005)

ISIS Governance and State Building

- Revkin, Mara. "The Legal Foundations of the Islamic State" (2016)
- Caris, Charles and Samuel Reynolds. "ISIS Governance in Syria" (2014)
- Shapiro, Jacob. "The Terrorist's Dilemma: Managing Violent Covert Organizations" (2013)
- Clarke, Colin P. "How ISIS Is Transforming" (2017)

Foreign Fighters and Recruitment

- Hegghammer, Thomas. "The Rise of Muslim Foreign Fighters: Islam and the Globalization of Jihad" (2011)
- Malet, David. "Foreign Fighter Mobilization and Persistence in a Global Context" (2015)
- Bakker, Edwin and Roel de Bont. "Belgian and Dutch Jihadist Foreign Fighters (2012-2015)" (2016)
- Barrett, Richard. "Foreign Fighters in Syria" (2014)

Ideology and Theology

- Bunzel, Cole. "From Paper State to Caliphate: The Ideology of the Islamic State" (2015)
- Wood, Graeme. "What ISIS Really Wants" (2015)
- Creswell, Robyn and Bernard Haykel. "Battle Lines: Want to Understand the Jihadis? Read Their Poetry" (2015)
- Wagemakers, Joas. "Jihadi-Salafism in Jordan and the Syrian Conflict" (2014)

Regional Impact and Syrian Civil War

- Lister, Charles. *The Syrian Jihad: Al-Qaeda, the Islamic State and the Evolution of an Insurgency* (2015)

- Phillips, Christopher. *The Battle for Syria: International Rivalry in the New Middle East* (2016)
- Hokayem, Emile. *Syria's Uprising and the Fracturing of the Levant* (2013)
- Hof, Frederic C. "The Line of Least Resistance: The United States, Syria and the War on ISIS" (2015)

Sectarianism and Violence

- Haddad, Fanar. *Sectarianism in Iraq: Antagonistic Visions of Unity* (2011)
- Nasr, Vali. *The Shia Revival: How Conflicts within Islam Will Shape the Future* (2006)
- Abdo, Geneive. *The New Sectarianism: The Arab Uprisings and the Rebirth of the Shi'a-Sunni Divide* (2017)
- Mabon, Simon. *Saudi Arabia and Iran: Soft Power Rivalry in the Middle East* (2013)

Counter-Terrorism Strategy

- Jones, Seth G. et al. "Rolling Back the Islamic State" (2017)
- Byman, Daniel. "Understanding the Islamic State: A Review Essay" (2016)
- Shapiro, Jacob and Nils Weidmann. "Is the Phone Mightier Than the Sword?" (2015)
- Johnston, Patrick et al. "Foundations of the Islamic State: Management, Money, and Terror in Iraq, 2005-2010" (2016)

Economic Analysis

- Solomon, Erika and Ahmed Mhidi. "ISIS Inc: How Oil Fuels the Jihadi Terrorists" (2015)
- Bahney, Benjamin et al. "An Economic Analysis of the Financial Records of al-Qa'ida in Iraq" (2010)
- Chulov, Martin. "How an Arrest in Iraq Revealed ISIS's $2bn Jihadist Network" (2014)

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:

- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*